

TABLE 2—FILING FEES

Item No.	Item	Estimated annual responses (a)	Filing fee (\$) (b)	Non-hourly cost burden (a) × (b) = (c)
1 .....	Petition to waive or suspend the legal process rules under 37 CFR 104.3.	5	\$130	\$650
Totals	.....	5	.....	650

### Postage Costs

The USPTO estimates that all submissions in this collection will be submitted by mail. The USPTO estimates that 308 items will be submitted by mail in a large envelope. The average first-class postage for a four-ounce mailed submission in a large envelope is \$2.31, resulting in a total of \$711 for submissions other than a Service of Process. The USPTO estimates that 1 Service of Process will be submitted by certified mail in a Priority Mail flat-rate envelope with a return receipt. The USPTO estimates that the average cost for a certified Priority mail flat-rate envelope with a return receipt is \$17.87, resulting in \$18 for Service of Process submissions. Therefore, the USPTO estimates the total combined postage cost for this collection is \$729.

### IV. Request for Comments

The USPTO is soliciting public comments to:

(a) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

All comments submitted in response to this notice are a matter of public record. The USPTO will include or summarize each comment in the request to OMB to approve this information collection. Before including an address, phone number, email address, or other personally identifiable information (PII)

in a comment, be aware that the entire comment—including PII—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask in your comment to withhold PII from public view, the USPTO cannot guarantee that it will be able to do so.

**Justin Isaac,**

*Information Collections Officer, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office.*

[FR Doc. 2025–12637 Filed 7–7–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–16–P**

### COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Notice of Intent To Extend Collection 3038–0111: Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants—Cross-Border Application of the Margin Requirements

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC” or “Commission”) is announcing an opportunity for public comment on the proposed renewal of a collection of certain information by the agency. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act (“PRA”), Federal agencies are required to publish notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, and to allow 60 days for public comment. This notice solicits comments on the burdens associated with the information collections associated with the Commission’s Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants—Cross-Border Application of the Margin Requirements, including (1) requesting a comparability determination from the Commission; (2) maintaining policies and procedures for compliance with the

Commission’s special provisions for non-netting jurisdictions and non-segregation jurisdictions; and (3) maintaining books and records properly documenting that all of the requirements of the special provisions for non-netting jurisdictions and non-segregation jurisdictions are satisfied.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before September 8, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by “Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants—Cross-Border Application of the Margin Requirements,” Collection Number 3038–0111, by any of the following methods:

- The Agency’s website, at <https://comments.cftc.gov/>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments through the website.

- **Mail:** Christopher Kirkpatrick, Secretary of the Commission, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW, Washington, DC 20581.

- **Hand Delivery/Courier:** Same as “Mail” above.

Please submit your comments using only one method. All comments must be submitted in English, or if not, accompanied by an English translation. Comments will be posted as received to <https://www.cftc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dina Moussa, Special Counsel, Market Participants Division, at (202) 418–5696, or [dmoussa@cftc.gov](mailto:dmoussa@cftc.gov); or Catherine Brescia, Attorney Advisor, Market Participants Division, (202) 418–6236, or [cbrescia@cftc.gov](mailto:cbrescia@cftc.gov), Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW, Washington, DC 20581; and refer to OMB Control No. 3038–0111.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. “Collection of Information” is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3 and includes agency requests or

requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A), requires Federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, the CFTC is publishing notice of the proposed extension of the existing collections of information listed below. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

**Title:** Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants—Cross-Border Application of the Margin Requirements (OMB Control No. 3038–0111). This is a request for an extension of currently approved information collections.

**Abstract:** Section 731 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act<sup>1</sup> amended the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA”) <sup>2</sup> to add, as Section 4s(e) thereof, provisions concerning the setting of initial and variation margin requirements for swap dealers (“SDs”) and major swap participants (“MSPs”).<sup>3</sup> Each SD and MSP for which there is a Prudential Regulator, as defined in Section 1a(39) of the CEA,<sup>4</sup> must meet margin requirements established by the applicable Prudential Regulator, and each SD and MSP for which there is no Prudential Regulator (“Covered Swap Entities” or “CSEs”) must comply with the Commission’s Regulations governing margin on all swaps that are not centrally cleared.

With regard to the cross-border application of the Commission’s margin rules, Section 2(i) <sup>5</sup> of the CEA provides the Commission with express authority over activities outside the United States relating to swaps when certain conditions are met. Section 2(i) of the CEA provides that the provisions of the CEA relating to swaps that were enacted by the Wall Street Transparency and Accountability Act of 2010 (including any rule prescribed or regulation promulgated under that Act), shall not apply to activities outside the United States unless those activities (1) have a direct and significant connection with

activities in, or effect on, commerce of the United States or (2) contravene such rules or regulations as the Commission may prescribe or promulgate as are necessary or appropriate to prevent the evasion of any provision of the CEA that was enacted by the Wall Street Transparency and Accountability Act of 2010.

On May 31, 2016, the Commission published the Final Rule addressing the cross-border application of its margin requirements for uncleared swaps applicable to CSEs.<sup>6</sup> The Final Rule contains a collection of information under Commission Regulation 23.160(c) <sup>7</sup> regarding requests for comparability determinations, and information collections regarding non-netting jurisdictions,<sup>8</sup> and non-segregation jurisdictions.<sup>9</sup>

Under Commission Regulation 23.160(c)(1),<sup>10</sup> a CSE that is eligible for substituted compliance, or a foreign regulatory agency that has direct supervisory authority over one or more CSEs and that is responsible for administering the relevant foreign jurisdiction’s margin requirements, may request, individually or collectively, that the Commission make a determination that a CSE that complies with margin requirements in the relevant foreign jurisdiction would be deemed to be in compliance with the Commission’s corresponding margin rule (a “comparability determination”). Once a comparability determination is made for a jurisdiction, it applies for all entities or transactions in that jurisdiction to the extent provided in the comparability determination, as approved by the Commission and subject to any conditions specified by the Commission. All CSEs, regardless of whether they rely on a comparability determination, remain subject to the

Commission’s examination and enforcement authority.

Commission Regulation 23.160(c)(2) <sup>11</sup> requires that applicants for a comparability determination provide copies of the relevant foreign jurisdiction’s margin requirements and descriptions of their objectives, how they differ from the margin policy framework for non-cleared, bilateral derivatives set forth by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and the International Organization of Securities Commissions, and how they address the elements of the Commission’s margin requirements. The applicant must identify the specific legal and regulatory provisions of the foreign jurisdiction’s margin requirements that correspond to each element and, if necessary, whether the relevant foreign jurisdiction’s margin requirements do not address a particular element.

Commission Regulation 23.160(d) <sup>12</sup> includes a special provision for non-netting jurisdictions. This provision allows CSEs that cannot conclude after sufficient legal review with a well-founded basis that the netting agreement with a counterparty in a foreign jurisdiction meets the definition of an “eligible master netting agreement” set forth in Commission Regulation 23.151 <sup>13</sup> to nevertheless net uncleared swaps in determining the amount of margin that they post, provided that certain conditions are met. In order to avail itself of this special provision, a CSE must treat the uncleared swaps covered by the agreement on a gross basis in determining the amount of initial and variation margin that it must collect, but may net those uncleared swaps in determining the amount of initial and variation margin it must post to the counterparty, in accordance with the netting provisions of Commission Regulations 23.152(c) and 23.153(d).<sup>14</sup> A CSE that enters into uncleared swaps in “non-netting” jurisdictions in reliance on this provision must have policies and procedures ensuring that it complies with the special provision’s requirements, and maintain books and records properly documenting that all of the requirements of this exception are satisfied.

Commission Regulation 23.160(e) <sup>15</sup> includes a special provision for non-segregation jurisdictions that allows non-U.S. CSEs that are Foreign Consolidated Subsidiaries (“FCS”) (as

<sup>6</sup> See *Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants—Cross-Border Application of the Margin Requirements*, 81 FR 34818 (May 31, 2016).

<sup>7</sup> 17 CFR 23.160(c).

<sup>8</sup> As used in the adopting release, a “non-netting jurisdiction” is a jurisdiction in which a CSE cannot conclude, with a well-founded basis, that the netting agreement with a counterparty in that foreign jurisdiction meets the definition of an “eligible master netting agreement” set forth in Commission Regulation 23.151, and as described in Section II.B.5.b of the adopting release.

<sup>9</sup> As used in the adopting release, a “non-segregation jurisdiction” is a jurisdiction where inherent limitations in the legal or operational infrastructure of the foreign jurisdiction make it impracticable for the CSE and its counterparty to post initial margin pursuant to custodial arrangements that comply with the Commission’s margin rules, as further described in Section II.B.4.b of the adopting release.

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 23.1609(c)(1).

<sup>11</sup> 17 CFR 23.1609(c)(2).

<sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 23.160(d).

<sup>13</sup> 17 CFR 23.151.

<sup>14</sup> 17 CFR 23.152(c); 17 CFR 23.153(d).

<sup>15</sup> 17 CFR 23.160(e).

<sup>1</sup> Public Law 111–023, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010).

<sup>2</sup> U.S.C. 1 *et seq.*

<sup>3</sup> U.S.C. 6s(e).

<sup>4</sup> U.S.C. 1a(39).

<sup>5</sup> U.S.C. 2(i).

defined in Commission Regulation 23.160(a)(1))<sup>16</sup> and foreign branches of U.S. CSEs to engage in swaps in foreign jurisdictions where inherent limitations in the legal or operational infrastructure make it impracticable for the CSE and its counterparty to post collateral in compliance with the custodial arrangement requirements of the Commission's margin rules, subject to certain conditions. In order to rely on this special provision, a FCS or foreign branch of a U.S. CSE is required to satisfy all of the conditions of the rule, including that (1) inherent limitations in the legal or operational infrastructure of the foreign jurisdiction make it impracticable for the CSE and its counterparty to post any form of eligible initial margin collateral for the uncleared swap pursuant to custodial arrangements that comply with the Commission's margin rules; (2) foreign regulatory restrictions require the CSE to transact in uncleared swaps with the counterparty through an establishment within the foreign jurisdiction and do not permit the posting of collateral for the swap in compliance with the custodial arrangements of Commission Regulation 23.157<sup>17</sup> in the United States or a jurisdiction for which the Commission has issued a comparability determination under Commission Regulation 23.160(c) with respect to Commission Regulation 23.157; (3) the CSE's counterparty is not a U.S. person and is not a CSE, and the counterparty's obligations under the uncleared swap are not guaranteed by a U.S. person; (4) the CSE collects initial margin in cash on a gross basis, and posts and collects variation margin in cash, in accordance with specific requirements; (5) for each broad risk category, as set out in Commission Regulation 23.154(b)(2)(v),<sup>18</sup> the total outstanding notional value of all uncleared swaps in that broad risk category, as to which the CSE is relying on under Commission Regulation 23.160(e),<sup>19</sup> may not exceed 5 percent of the CSE's total outstanding notional value for all uncleared swaps in the same broad risk category; (6) the CSE has policies and procedures ensuring that it is in compliance with the requirements of this provision; and (7) the CSE maintains books and records properly documenting that all of the requirements of this provision are satisfied.

With respect to the collection of information, the CFTC invites comments on:

- Whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have a practical use;

- The accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burdens of the proposed collections of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Ways to minimize the burdens of collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures established in § 145.9 of the Commission's regulations.<sup>20</sup>

The Commission reserves the right, but shall have no obligation, to review, pre-screen, filter, redact, refuse or remove any or all of your submission from <https://www.cftc.gov> that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as obscene language. All submissions that have been redacted or removed that contain comments on the merits of the information collection requests will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as required under the Administrative Procedure Act, and other applicable laws, and may be accessible under FOIA.

#### • *Burden Statement—Information Collection for Comparability Determinations:*

The Commission estimates that 50 CSEs may request a comparability determination pursuant to Commission Regulation 23.160(c).<sup>21</sup> The Commission notes that any foreign regulatory agency that has direct supervisory authority

over one or more CSEs and that is responsible for administering the relevant foreign jurisdiction's margin requirements may also apply for a comparability determination. However, once a comparability determination is made for a jurisdiction, it will apply for all entities or transactions in that jurisdiction to the extent provided in the determination, as approved by the Commission. To date, the Commission has issued a comparability determination for 3 jurisdictions.<sup>22</sup> Accordingly, the Commission estimates that it will receive requests from the 13 remaining jurisdictions within the Group of 20 ("G20"),<sup>23</sup> in addition to Switzerland. The number of burden hours associated with such requests is estimated to be 40 hours. Accordingly, the respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be as follows:

*Estimated Number of Respondents:*

14.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent:* 40.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 560.

*Frequency of Collection:* Once.

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection.

#### • *Burden Statement—Information Collection for Non-Netting Jurisdictions:*

The Commission is revising its estimate of the burden for this collection to reflect the current number of registrants subject to the Commission's margin requirements for uncleared swaps. Specifically, the Commission estimates that approximately 50 CSEs may rely on Commission Regulation 23.160(d).<sup>24</sup> Furthermore, the

<sup>22</sup> See Comparability Determination for Japan: Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants, 81 FR 63376 (Sep. 15, 2016); Comparability Determination for the European Union: Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants, 82 FR 48394 (Oct. 18, 2017); Comparability Determination for Australia: Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants, 84 FR 12908 (Apr. 3, 2019). The Commission subsequently amended its comparability determination for Japan. See Amendment to Comparability Determination for Japan: Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants, 84 FR 12074 (Apr. 1, 2019).

<sup>23</sup> The G20 is comprised of foreign leaders and central bank managers from the top 19 countries with the largest economies along with the European Union.

<sup>24</sup> See *supra* n.21. Because all of these CSEs are eligible to use the special provision for non-netting jurisdictions, the Commission estimates that 50 CSEs may rely on Commission Regulation 23.160(d). Since the prior renewal of this information collection, the number of CSEs decreased from 53 to 50.

<sup>16</sup> 17 CFR 23.160(a)(1).

<sup>17</sup> 17 CFR 23.157.

<sup>18</sup> 17 CFR 23.154(b)(2)(v).

<sup>19</sup> 17 CFR 23.160.

<sup>20</sup> 17 CFR 145.9. See *Confidential Information and Commission Records and Information*, 74 FR 17395 (Apr. 15, 2009).

<sup>21</sup> Currently, there are 107 swap entities registered with the Commission. Of the 107 Commission-registered swap entities, the Commission estimates that 50 are CSEs not subject to Prudential Regulation; and are therefore subject to the Commission's margin rules. Since the last PRA renewal of this information collection, the number of CSEs has decreased from 53 to 50. Therefore, the Commission is revising its estimate in light of the current number of Commission-registered CSEs.

Commission estimates that these CSEs would incur an average of 10 annual burden hours to maintain books and records properly documenting that all of the requirements of this exception are satisfied (including policies and procedures ensuring compliance). Accordingly, the respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be as follows:

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 50.

*Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent:* 10.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 500.

*Frequency of Collection:* Once; As needed.

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection.

• *Burden Statement—Information Collection for Non-Segregation Jurisdictions:*

The Commission estimates that there are eight jurisdictions for which the first two conditions specified above for non-segregation jurisdictions are satisfied and where FCSs and foreign branches of U.S. CSEs that are subject to the Commission's margin rules may engage in swaps. The Commission estimates that approximately 12 FCSs or foreign branches of U.S. CSEs may rely on Commission Regulation 23.160(e) in some or all of these jurisdictions. The Commission estimates that each FCS or foreign branch of a U.S. CSE relying on this provision would incur an average of 20 annual burden hours to maintain books and records properly documenting that all of the requirements of this provision are satisfied (including policies and procedures for ensuring compliance) with respect to each jurisdiction as to which they rely on the special provision. Thus, based on the estimate of eight non-segregation jurisdictions, the Commission estimates that each of the approximately 12 FCSs and foreign branches of U.S. CSEs that may rely on this provision will incur an estimated 160 average burden hours per year (*i.e.*, 20 average burden hours per jurisdiction multiplied by 8). Accordingly, the respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be as follows:

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 12.

*Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent:* 160.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1,920.

*Frequency of Collection:* Once; As needed.

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection.

(Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

Dated: July 3, 2025.

**Robert Sidman,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2025–12639 Filed 7–7–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

#### Notice of Federal Advisory Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Board of Visitors of the U.S. Air Force Academy (BoV AFA), Department of the Air Force.

**ACTION:** Notice of Federal advisory committee meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense (DoD) is publishing this notice to announce that the following Federal advisory committee meeting of the Board of Visitors of the U.S. Air Force Academy (BoV AFA) will take place.

**DATES:** Open to the public Thursday, August 7, 2025 from approximately 8:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. (mountain time).

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will occur in-person with virtual option. Members of the public will only be allowed to attend the meeting virtually. The link for the virtual meeting can be found at: <https://www.usafa.edu/about/bov/> and will be active approximately thirty minutes before the start of the meeting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

*Designated Federal Officer:* Dr. Raquel Rimpola, [bov@afacademy.af.edu](mailto:bov@afacademy.af.edu), 703–614–4751, 1660 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330–1660.

*Alternate Designated Federal Officer:* Ms. Blaire Brush, [bov@afacademy.af.edu](mailto:bov@afacademy.af.edu), 2304 Cadet Drive, Suite 3200, USAF Academy, CO 80840–5025.

*USAFA BoV Website:* <https://www.usafa.edu/about/bov/>. Contains information on the Board of Visitors, link to the virtual meeting, and approved meeting agenda.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (5 U.S.C. 1001 *et seq.*), the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), and 41 CFR 102–3.140 and 102–3.150.

*Purpose of the Meeting:* In accordance with 10 U.S.C. 9455(e)(1), the BoV AFA provides independent advice and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense, through the Secretary of the Air Force, on matters relating to the U.S. Air Force Academy (USAFA), including morale, discipline, and social climate,

the curriculum, instruction, physical equipment, fiscal affairs, academic methods, and other matters relating to the USAFA that the BoV AFA decides to consider. This is the 2025 Organizational Meeting of the BoV AFA.

*Written Statements:* Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.105(j) and section 102–3.140 and section 1009(a)(3) of FACA, any member of the public wishing to provide input to the BoV AFA may submit a written statement. The public or interested organizations may submit written comments or statements to the Board about its mission and/or the topics to be addressed in the open sessions of this public meeting. Written comments or statements should be submitted to the Alternate Designated Federal Officer via electronic mail, at the email address listed in the **FOR**

**FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section in the following formats: Adobe Acrobat and/or Microsoft Word. The comment or statement must include the author's name, title, affiliation, address, and daytime telephone number. Written comments or statements being submitted in response to the agenda set forth in this notice must be received at least five (5) business days prior to the meeting so they may be made available to the BoV Chairman for consideration prior to the meeting. Written comments or statements received after July 30, 2025, may not be provided to the BoV until its next meeting. Please note that because the BoV operates under FACA, all written comments will be treated as public documents and will be made available for public inspection.

*Disability and Language Accommodations:* Please direct any requests for disability or language accommodations to the Alternate Designated Federal Officer in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section above.

**Tommy W. Lee,**

*Acting Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2025–12682 Filed 7–7–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3911–44–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Agency Information Collection Extension

**AGENCY:** U.S. Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) invites public comments on a proposed collection of information that DOE is developing for submission to the Office of Management and Budget